



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

YALE LAW JOURNAL

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE, \$2.00 A YEAR

SINGLE COPIES, 35 CENTS

EDITORS:

PERCY FINLAY, *Chairman.*

WILLIAM B. BOSLEY, *Treasurer.*

HOWARD A. COUSE,

HARRY G. DAY,

THOMAS F. FARRELL,

HARRISON B. FREEMAN,

L. P. WALDO MARVIN,

CHARLES WEISER.

Published six times a year, by students of the Yale Law School

P. O. Address, Box 1341, New Haven, Conn.

THROUGHOUT almost the whole system of our government, political opinions have become a factor of paramount importance in the choice of officers who are to make, construe and execute its laws. It has often been suggested that in some branches of the government, especially in municipalities, and even in States, politics ought not to enter in the elections at all. Although this would afford many evident advantages over the present system, political bias and loyalty to party are so strong that it is impossible to put it into practice. For this reason, it seems to us that judges ought to be called to the bench by appointment rather than by election. The success of this method has been sufficiently demonstrated in England and in the United States Courts in this country. The right of legislative bodies to confirm appointments made by the executive, as in the case of those made by the President, is no doubt a useful qualification. Public sentiment and the opinion of the bar has not always the striking influence on elections which has been so notable in a recent instance. With appointments, however, it is very different, and the sentiment of educated people practically secures the selection of able and competent men.

Life terms, which usually accompany appointments, seem better than terms for a few years. The chief objection to them is, that an incompetent judge, when once chosen, holds for an indefinite period, and it is almost impossible to displace him. But the gravity and importance of such selections lead the one in

whom the power is vested to exercise the greatest care and best judgment. A custom of resigning at the age when the mental powers begin to diminish, where there is no rule fixing a time when resignation is expected, soon springs up, so that no apprehension need be felt on this score. The experience of years gives the judge, thus freed from the vexations of a canvas for reëlection, a judicial habit of mind which enables him to dispose of questions brought before him with the greatest ease.

* * *

IN any form of government where the ultimate appeal upon all social and political questions is to the judgment of the citizens, the two things which decide whether the superficial plea of the demagogue, or the sober reason of the statesman and economist is to meet with approval, are the intelligence and learning of those who exercise the elective franchise. And the learning which is available for guidance in political action is not so much knowledge of the subjects contained in the ordinary *curricula* of the schools as of political institutions and the proper functions of the state. Prof. Williston's article, which appears in this number, conveys some idea of what is being done in the colleges of the United States to educate young men in the duties of citizenship. But ought not this work to be extended into the public high schools and academies? Are not law, history, and political economy of equal disciplinary value with many of the more common branches? Is not the subject matter of these departments of science essential for those who decide by their ballots matters of State? A little non-professional, but thoroughly scientific, training in the fundamental principles of political law and social science will go far to correct the error that it is a function of the state to create wealth or so to interfere in its distribution as to guard the imprudent against the results of their ignorance and improvidence. The fallacies of the populists and of all those who favor inflation of the currency and the creation of artificial conditions of industry and commerce can be traced to the paternalistic theory of government. Teach our citizens that it is the duty of the government to preserve the peace and order of society by securing justice between man and man, but not, by encouraging men to seek aid in artificial legislation, to destroy that self-reliance which constitutes individual and national strength of character.